1158 26th Street

Suite 535

Santa Monica California 90403

May 23, 2001

VIA FACSIMILE AND U.S. MAIL

Mr. Mark Beyeler Program Manager California Coastal Conservancy 1330 Broadway, Suite 1100 Oakland, California 94612

Re: Youth Access Program

Dear Mr. Beyeler:

As you may know, Mr. Ahern and Mr. Hernandez asked us to investigate a programatic alternative to promote public access to Malibu area beaches; particularly ways in which access for urban area youth might be promoted. We determined that urban youth in Los Angeles do not have practical access to Malibu primarily due to a lack of transportation. Public transportation from inland locations to Malibu beaches is limited, indirect, time-consuming, and inappropriate for younger children. Bus service tends to channel inland children to urbanized beaches in Santa Monica. Public bus service also tends to overburden certain beaches and underutilizes less accessible coastal resources in Malibu.

In responding to the request made by Mr. Ahern and Mr. Hernandez, we determined the primary issues would be providing a continuing source of funding, reliable and cost effective means of transportation, an appropriate level of supervision, and minimizing the administrative burdens on Conservancy staff.

I. FUNDING

Telephone 310 315 0060 Fax

> 310 315 1165

Funding could be provided by the basic framework set forth in the terminated settlement agreement. The settlement could provide approximately \$987,000. These funds might be combined with the existing Black Tor account that contains approximately \$400,000. The combined funds of approximately \$1,387,00 could be invested in an account specifically earmarked for promoting youth access to coastal areas in the Malibu area (the "Malibu Youth Access Fund"). The Malibu Youth Access Fund could be deposited into a long-term, interest-bearing annuity or similar investment. Based on a conservative estimated yield of 6% per annum, the fund could generate approximately \$83,220 annually. Assuming that half of

MAY 2 9 2001

1.158 26th Street

> dhi2 53

Sente Monica California Space

May 23, 2801

VIA FACSUMILIT AND US MAIL

Mr. Mark Boyeler Program Manager California Constal Conservancy 1330 Broadway, Suite 1800 Carlend, California 54613

Harris You'ld Addess Program

Dear Mr. Beyelest

As you may know, Mr. Ahorn and Mr. Hernander saked us to investigate a programming algorishment to promote public access to unban Malibu area beaches: paraigularly ways in which access for urban area youth might be promoted. We determined that urban youth in Los Angeles do not have practical access to Malibu primarily due to a lack of transportation. Public transportation from inland locations to Malibu beaches is limited, indirect, time-consuming, and imappropriate for younger children. But service tends to channel inland children to urbanished beaches in Santa Monice and channel inland children to urbanished contain beaches and channel luste service also constain to overbuides contain beaches and underutilizes less accessible constal resources in Malibu.

In responding to the request made by Mr. Ahern and Mr. Sernander, so determined the primary trauses would be providing a continuing source of funding, reliable and cost effective means of transportation, an appropriate level of supervision, and minimizing the administrative burdens on Conservancy staff.

DAIGHUM I

> Pos Jac Jac

Funding could be provided by the basic framework set forth in the textinated settlement agreement. The settlement could provide approximately \$987,000. These finds might be combined with the expressions approximately \$400,000. The combined funds of approximately \$1,387.00 could be invested in the account specifically sermanded for promoting youth access to constal areas in the Mellon sees (the 'Realthy Touth Access Fund'). The Malibu Touth Access Fund') and malibu Touth Access Fund could be deposited into a long-term, interest-bearing sanutary or similar investment. Based on a conservative extimated yield of 65 per annually. Assuming that half of generals annually. Assuming that half of

MAY 2 9 2001

Mr. Mark Beyeler May 23, 2001 Page 2

this amount were reinvested each year (to account for a hypothetical 3% rate of inflation), approximately \$41,610 would be available for expenditure annually.

Significantly, the principle of the Malibu Youth Access Fund would not be expended and the entire \$1,387,000 would remain available (and protected against inflation) in the event the Coastal Conservancy were to decide at any time to use such funds for other coastal access purposes in the future (for example, to make a major capital expenditure for acquisition, development or alternative use of the proceeds). In the interim, a significant number of urban area youth could be provided with coastal access opportunities they might not otherwise have the opportunity to enjoy.

II. PROGRAM OPTIONS

A. Joint Conservancy/LAUSD Program

The first option would be partnering with the Los Angeles Unified School District to support a joint Conservancy/LAUSD Access Program. Through Carole Takaki, Administrator, Student Auxiliary Services Branch, LAUSD (818) 904-2164, I learned that for many years, the Youth Services Branch has administered a Beach Day Program that brings inland students on day trips to area beaches. The Beach Day Program has not historically brought students to any beaches in Malibu. However, program administrators are eager to expand the scope of the Beach Day Program to include destinations at Malibu beaches. Most significantly, LAUSD indicates it does not currently have any funding to implement the Beach Day Program for the summer of 2001 or ensuing seasons.

LAUSD's Beach Day Program is designed to operate seven days per week during the 56 day summer vacation period. The Program can also operate on non-summer weekends to provide opportunities for students at schools with year round classes. The Program includes the following primary components:

1. Transportation. The Beach Day Program, through LAUSD, chartered buses directly from Laidlaw Bus Company. The price listed below includes a large bus capable of transporting approximately sixty-five (65) students plus sufficient supervisory personnel, a licensed and trained driver, fuel, insurance and parking fees.

Mr. Mark Beyeler May 23, 2001 Page 2

this amount were reinvested each year (to account for a hypothetical it rate of inflation), approximately 541,610 would be available for expanditure annually.

Significantly, the principle of the Malibu Tolath Access Fund would not be expended and the entire \$1,387,000 would remain available (and protected against indication) in the event the Coastal Conservency were to decide at any time to use such funds for other coastal access purposes in the future (for example, to make a major capital expenditure for acquisition, development or alternative use of the proceeds). In the interim, a significant number of urban area youth coald be provided with coastal access apportunities they might not otherwise have the opportunity to

II. PROGRAM OPTIONS

A. Joint Conservance Church Program

The first option would be gustnering with the Los Angeles
Unified School District to support a joint Conservancy/LAUSE Access
Progres. Through Carola Takaki, Administrator, Student Auxiliary
Services Branch, LAUED (SIS) 908-2164, I learned that for many
years, the Youth Services Dranch has administred a Beach Day
Progres that brings inland students on day trips to area beacher.
The Beach Day Progress has not historically brought students to any
beaches in Mailbu. However, progress administrators are caper to
expand the scope of the Beach Day Progress to include destinations
at Mailbu beaches. Most significantly, LAUED indicates it does not
currently have any funding to implement the Beach Day Progress for
the summer of 2001 or specing seasons.

LAUSH's Beach Day Fragram is designed to operate seven days per week during the 55 day summer variation period. The Program can also operate on non-summer weekends to provide opportunities for students at schools with year round classes. The Program includes the fallowing primary components:

1. Transportation. The Essch Day Program, through LAUSD, chartered buses directly from Laidlaw Bus Company. The price listed below includes a large bus dapable of transporting approximately sixty-five (65) atminute plus sufficient supervisory personnel, a licensed and trained driver, funl, insurance and parking fees.

Mr. Mark Beyeler May 23, 2001 Page 3

The buses pick up students at designated school sites at midmorning (approximately 10:00-11:00 a.m.). The buses then travel directly to the destination beaches without further stops. The buses remain parked at beach parking lots for the duration of the visit. Children are allowed to leave clothing and other personal items on the bus. The buses return to the pick-up school sites by approximately 5:00-6:00 p.m.

- 2. Supervision. LAUSD provides supervision of each Beach Day excursion at the ratio of 1 supervisor per 12 students. One LAUSD employee is designated as the primary supervisor and is supported by LAUSD assistants. The supervisors are responsible for all aspects of the day's activities including monitoring the students and coordinating any games, activities or educational features.
- 3. <u>Food and Beverages</u>. The LAUSD Food Services
 Department provides free food and beverages to all participants in
 the Beach Day Program. A sack lunch, fruit and drinks are
 typically included.
- 4. <u>Activities</u>. Educational programs regarding coastal resources could be included an LAUSD program. Organized recreational activities could also be coordinated and supervised over the course of the day.

LAUSD estimates that a day at a Malibu beach as described above would cost approximately \$600 per bus. Based upon an annual budget of \$41,610, approximately 70 LAUSD Beach Days could be funded each year. As stated earlier, the LAUSD program operates for 56 days each summer. Assuming that each LAUSD Beach Day trip transports 65 students (plus supervisors), the Malibu Youth Access Fund would support an all-inclusive day at a Malibu Beach for approximately 4500 students each summer in perpetuity.

B. Conservancy Administered Program

The second option would be for the Conservancy to administer a program that contracts with private charter buses to transport children to designated public beaches in Malibu. Charter bus service would be made available to qualified community and educational organizations for their youth programs. The Conservancy would establish a bus schedule and qualified organizations could reserve open dates. The qualified organization would be required to provide adequate supervision, and written approval/releases from the parents or guardians of participating

Mr. Mark Beyeler May 23, 2001 Page 3

The buses pick up students at designated school sites at midnorming (approximately 10:00-11:00 s.m.). The buses then travel directly to the destination beaches without further stops. The buses remain parked at beach parking lots for the duration of the visit. Children are allowed to leave disting and other personal items on the bus. The buses return to the pick-up a chool sites by approximately 5:00-6:00 p.m.

- 2. Supervision IAUSD provides supervision of each Beach Day enquesion at the ratio of I supervisor par 12 students. One LAUSD exployee is designated as the primary supervisor and is supported by LAUSD resistants. The supervisors are responsible for all aspects of the day's activities indicating the students and coordinating any games, activities or educational features.
- 3. Food and Beverages. The LAUSE Food Services Department provides free food and beverages to all participants in the Beach Day Program. A sack lanch, fruit and drinks are typically included.
 - A. Addivition. Educational programs regarding coastal resembles could be included an LAUED program. Organized recreational activities could also be coordinated and supervised over the course of the day.

LAUSD estimates that a day at a Malibu beach as described above would cost approximately \$500 per bus. Based upon an annual budget of \$41,810, approximately 70 LAUSD Beach Days could be funded andh year. As stated earlier, the LAUSD program operates for 55 days each summer. Assuming that each LAUSD Beach Day trip transports 65 students (plus supervisors), the Malibu Youth Access Fund would support as all-inclusive day at a Malibu Beach for approximately 4500 students each summer in perpetuity.

B. Conservancy Administrated Program

The second option would be for the Conservancy to administer a program that contracts with private churter bease to transport children to designated public beaches in Malibu. Charter bus service would be made available to qualified community and adventional organizations for their yeath programs. The conservancy would establish a but schedule and qualified organization organization organization to be required to provide adequate supervision, and written approval/releases from the parents or quardians of participating

Mr. Mark Beyeler May 23, 2001 Page 4

children. The Conservancy's role would be limited to administering the reservation system and contracting for the buses. The buses would have a schedule for picking up the children in their local community, taking them to their outing destination, and returning them to their point of departure.

I obtained the enclosed rate sheet for charter buses from Laidlaw Education Services. Each bus has an average capacity of approximately 70 children. Bus rates average approximately \$300 per day. Based upon a budget of \$41,610, the Malibu Youth Access Fund would support approximately 139 charter days per year. Assuming that each charter day supported only one round trip, the Fund would provide access to Malibu Beaches for close to 10,000 children each year. This conservative estimate might be increased if additional round trips can be scheduled within a charter day, or if the Malibu Youth Access Fund yield is increased

III. COMPARISON OF THE TWO OPTIONS

Both program options would provide significant coastal access opportunities for urban area youth that are not available to them today. The option of directly contracting for bus service would be more cost effective in terms of trips per dollar. However, such a program would be significantly more complicated (and most probably more costly) for the Conservancy to administer since it would be up to the Conservancy to promote the program, evaluate eligible community groups, ensure that adequate safeguards are in place, and oversee scheduling and execution. By contrast, the LAUSD program, though more costly in terms of cost per student, offers significant advantages in delivering a fully supervised and educational experience, where the Conservancy's only role might be to make funding available and agree upon appropriate destinations.

Moreover, the LAUSD program could be implemented quickly once funding is made available.

Both of the above programs would immediately expand existing public access to Malibu beaches for a large underserved segment of the Los Angeles community. Should the Conservancy be interested in pursuing the partnership with LAUSD, I am advised it would take approximately 4 weeks to start up the LAUSD Program from the date funding is secured.

Mr. Maric Bayeler May 23, 2001. Page 4

children. The Conservancy's role would be limited to administering the reservation system and contracting for the buses. The insess would have a schedule for picking up the children in their local community, taking them to their outing destination, and returning them to their point of departure.

I obtained the enclosed rate sheet for charter buses from
Laidlaw Education Sarvices. Each has an average capacity of
approximately 70 children. Bus rates average approximately 0300
per day. Sated upon a budget of 041,610, the Malibu Youth Access
Fund would support approximately 139 cherter days per year.
Assuming that each charter day supported only one round trip, the
Fund would provide sectes to Malibu Seaches for close to 10,000
children each year. This conservative estimate might be increased
if additional round trips can be scheduled within a charter day, or

III. COMPARISON OF THE TWO DITIONS

Both program options would provide significant cossist access
opportunities for urbon area youth that are not available to them
today. The option of directly contracting for bus service would be
more cost effective in terms of trips per dollar. However, such a
program would be significantly more complicated (and most probably
more costly) for the Conservancy to administer since it would be up
to the Conservancy to promote the program, svaluate sligible
community groups, shawes that adequate safequards are in place, and
overses scheduling and execution. By contrast, the imusic program,
though more costly in terms of cost per sindent, offers significant
advantages in delivering a fully expervised and adventional
advantages in delivering a fully expervised and adventions.

funding available and agree upon appropriate destinations.

funding available and agree upon appropriate destinations.

Noth of the above programs would immediately expend existing public access to Malibu beaches for a large underserved segment of the los Angeles community. Should the Conservancy be interested in pursuing the partnership with LAUSD, I am advised it would take approximately 4 weeks to start up the LAUSD Program from the date funding is secured.

Mr. Mark Beyeler May 23, 2001 Page 5

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or comments or if I can be of any further assistance.

Very truly yours

JONATHAN S. HORNE

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Bill Ahern

Mr. Gary Hernandez Ms. Mary Nichols Ms. Carole Takaki Allan J. Abshez, Esq.

Mr. Mark Beyele May 23, 2001 Page 5

Please do not hepitate to contact me if you have any questions or comments on if I can be of any further assistance.

VOEW VENTY YEARS

Englosuze

cc: Mr. Bill Abern Mr. Gary Hernendes Ms. Mary Michels Ms. Carole Tekniki Allen J. Abrhen, Ke